Contribution ID: 02eacb4f-2882-4f16-8f00-48f843741bc0

Date: 14/02/2025 18:11:22

Public consultation – EU funding for civil protection, preparedness and response to crises

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

The current multiannual financial framework (MFF) – the European Union's (EU) long-term budget – runs until the end of 2027. In 2025, the Commission will put forth comprehensive proposals for the post-2027 long-term budget and for the next generation of funding programmes. These programmes will provide financial support to hundreds of thousands of beneficiaries, including regions, towns, non-governmental organisations, businesses, farmers, students and scientists.

Following President von der Leyen's <u>Political Guidelines</u> for the 2024-2029 European Commission, the Commission will work towards a simpler, more focused and responsive long-term budget that reflects the European strategic priorities with the ambition to be an investment Commission. The long-term budget for the period after 2027 must reflect this.

The next long-term budget should deliver on the things that matter the most, in areas where it can achieve more than Member States acting alone. This requires a careful assessment of both what has worked well in the past and what could be improved in the future. The next EU budget will draw lessons from the current one – especially in terms of simplicity, flexibility, speed, strategic focus and impact.

As an integral part of the process, the Commission is launching a series of public consultations to gather views from all interested parties on how to make the most of every euro of the EU budget.

To deliver on these objectives, this public consultation aims to gather the public's views related to funding on civil protection, preparedness and response to crises (for the purposes of this questionnaire, "crises" is understood in a broad sense, including all types of crises and phases of crisis management, anticipation, assessment, prevention, preparedness, response, recovery). The decision to cluster issues serves to support the preparatory work and does not pre-empt the architecture of future programmes.

The EU has experienced a wide range of challenges throughout its history and is constantly learning from these experiences to manage risks and threats and improve its ability to prepare for and manage future crises. Crises in recent years have ranged from conflict and disasters caused by climate change and environmental degradation to health crises and security threats, and they have shown how vulnerable and interdependent our societies have become.

The causes and consequences of crises are increasingly interconnected. This has led to a dynamic and complex landscape of risks and threats, with cascading and compounding effects, that often transcend national land and sea borders. Their political, social and economic impacts are felt across all sectors of European societies.

To tackle these challenges, the EU has put in place collaboration mechanisms to prevent, prepare for, manage and recover from crises. This is crucial to safeguard the EU population, essential services, and economic resources and assets. However, given the ever-evolving risk landscape, the EU's preparedness

for crises will need to be further strengthened (Niinistö report on strengthening Europe's Civilian and Military Preparedness and Readiness).

About you

Bulgarian

Croatian

Czech

Danish

Dutch

English

Estonian

*Language of my contribution

Company/business

Consumer organisation

	Finnish
0	French
	German
0	Greek
0	Hungarian
	Irish
	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian
0	Slovak
	Slovenian
0	Spanish
0	Swedish
*Lom	aiving my contribution as
ı am	giving my contribution as
	Academic/research institution
	Rusiness association

EU citizen	
Environmental organisation	
Non-EU citizen	
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)	
Public authority	
Trade union	
Other	
First name	
Paul	
Surname	
DE RAEVE	
Email (this won't be published)	
efn@efn.be	
Organisation name	
255 character(s) maximum	
European Federation of Nurses Associations (EFN)	
Organisation size	
Micro (1 to 9 employees)	
Small (10 to 49 employees)	
Medium (50 to 249 employees)	
Large (250 or more)	
Transparency register number	
Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.	
initiating Lo decision making.	

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

0	Afghanistan		Djibouti	0	Libya	0	Saint Martin
	Åland Islands		Dominica		Liechtenstein		Saint Pierre and
							Miquelon
0	Albania		Dominican		Lithuania		Saint Vincent
			Republic				and the
							Grenadines
0	Algeria		Ecuador		Luxembourg		Samoa
0	American Samoa		Egypt	0	Macau		San Marino
0	Andorra		El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
							Príncipe
	Angola		Equatorial Guinea	a 🔘	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
0	Anguilla		Eritrea	0	Malaysia		Senegal
0	Antarctica		Estonia		Maldives		Serbia
0	Antigua and		Eswatini		Mali		Seychelles
	Barbuda						
	Argentina		Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone
0	Armenia		Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands		Singapore
0	Aruba		Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
0	Australia		Fiji		Mauritania		Slovakia
	Austria		Finland	0	Mauritius		Slovenia
	Azerbaijan		France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands
0	Bahamas		French Guiana	0	Mexico		Somalia
0	Bahrain		French Polynesia		Micronesia		South Africa
0	Bangladesh		French Southern		Moldova		South Georgia
			and Antarctic				and the South
			Lands				Sandwich
							Islands
0	Barbados		Gabon	0	Monaco		South Korea
0	Belarus	0	Georgia	0	Mongolia	0	South Sudan
•	Belgium		Germany		Montenegro		Spain
0	Belize		Ghana		Montserrat		Sri Lanka
0	Benin		Gibraltar	0	Morocco	0	Sudan
0	Bermuda		Greece	0	Mozambique	0	Suriname
0	Bhutan		Greenland		Myanmar/Burma		Svalbard and
							Jan Mayen

0	Bolivia		Grenada		Namibia		Sweden
0	Bonaire Saint Eustatius and	0	Guadeloupe	0	Nauru	©	Switzerland
	Saba						
0	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	Guam	0	Nepal	0	Syria
0	Botswana	0	Guatemala	0	Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
0	Brazil	0	Guinea	0	New Zealand	0	Tanzania
0	British Indian Ocean Territory	0	Guinea-Bissau	0	Nicaragua	0	Thailand
0	British Virgin Islands	0	Guyana	0	Niger	0	The Gambia
0	Brunei	0	Haiti	0	Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
0	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and	0	Niue	0	Togo
	J		McDonald Islands	3			J
0	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras		Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi		Hong Kong	0	Northern		Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia		Hungary		North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
0	Cameroon	0	Iceland	0	North Macedonia		Tunisia
0	Canada	0	India	0	Norway		Türkiye
0	Cape Verde		Indonesia	0	Oman	0	Turkmenistan
0	Cayman Islands		Iran	0	Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African	0	Iraq	0	Palau		Tuvalu
	Republic						
0	Chad	0	Ireland	0	Palestine	0	Uganda
©	Chile	0	Isle of Man	0	Panama	(iii)	Ukraine
0	China	0	Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
					Guinea	0	Emirates
	Christmas Island		Italy		Paraguay		United Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru		United States

0	Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	0	Philippines	0	United States
	Islands					Minor Outlying Islands
0	Onla sala'a	O 1		D'haa'aa lalaada		
	Colombia	Jersey		Pitcairn Islands	_	Uruguay
	Comoros	Jordan		Poland		US Virgin Islands
	Congo	Kazakhstan		Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands	Kenya		Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica	Kiribati		Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
0	Croatia	Kuwait		Romania	0	Vietnam
0	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
						Futuna
0	Curaçao	Laos		Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia		Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
0	Czechia	Lebanon		Saint Helena		Zambia
				Ascension and		
				Tristan da Cunha		
0	Democratic	Lesotho		Saint Kitts and	0	Zimbabwe
	Republic of the			Nevis		
	Congo					
0	Denmark	Liberia		Saint Lucia		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Overall risk and threat landscape

Which risks and threats do you perceive as the most threatening to your personal life and/or economic situation (or your organisation's performance and objectives)? Please select three answers

Maximum 3 selection(s)
Armed conflict
Cyberattacks and hybrid threats
Disasters caused by climate change and environmental degradation (e.g.
floods, heatwaves, droughts, forest fires, hurricanes, snowstorms)
Disinformation
Disruption of essential services
Disruption of critical supply chains
Economic and trade instability
Health emergencies (e.g. epidemics and pandemics)
Maritime security threats and maritime disasters
Migratory pressure and pressure on the EU's borders
Misuses of chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear materials
Natural and human-made threats in space
Non-climate-related natural disasters (e.g. earthquakes, tsunamis, landslides
volcanic eruptions)

Shortage of workers
Threats to biodiversity and animal/plant health
Violence and social unrest
other
Which risks from outside the EU do you believe are most likely to have a spill-over effect on the EU? Please select three answers. Maximum 3 selection(s) Cyberthreats resulting from weak cybersecurity standards in interconnected in non-EU countries with whom the EU works Disruption of essential services (e.g. underwater cables for energy or data) Disruption of global supply chains due to natural and human-made causes Infectious disease outbreaks Irregular migration Local conflicts or political instability in neighbouring regions Terrorism and cross-border organised crime, such as drug trafficking Other
Which policy areas should the EU prioritise when working with non-EU countries to strengthen their resilience to crises that may also affect the EU (a concept called 'mutual resilience')? Please select three answers. **Maximum 3 selection(s)**
 Assistance for a coordinated response to crises, including at land and sea Conflict early warning, conflict prevention, stabilisation and peacebuilding Coordinated responses to the impacts of climate change and environmental degradation
 Cybersecurity and protection of essential services Economic security and protection of global supply chains Health systems: strengthening and supporting responses to health emergencies (e.g. infectious disease outbreaks)
 Using of space-based services and applications for crisis management (including prevention, public alerts, preparedness and recovery efforts) Strengthening non-EU countries' border controls other

EU activities on civil protection, preparedness and crisis response

In your view, how important are the objectives below?

	Very important	Important	Moderately important	Not at all important	Don't know/ not applicable
Support conflict early warning, conflict prevention and peacebuilding beyond the EU's borders so the EU can act in solidarity in its neighbourhood and beyond	0	•	•	•	•
Support preparedness and ensure the EU can react to unexpected events to act in solidarity with its citizens and beyond	•	0	•	0	0
Ensure that all policy sectors and all government levels (local, regional, national, EU) work seamlessly together to prevent, prepare for and respond to crises	0	•	•	•	•
Include all parts of society (general public, civil society organisations, private sector etc.) in preparedness and crisis management efforts	•	0	•	•	•
Focus on the most exposed and vulnerable EU regions	0	•	0	0	0
Take into account all types of hazards in proactive, anticipatory and evidence-based approach to threats and risks	•	0	0	©	0

In the following areas/risks/threats, to what extent would EU investments have more added value than individual Member State investments?

	To a large extent	Somewhat	Very little	Not at all	Don't know/ not applicable
Armed conflict	0	0	0	0	•
Cyber and hybrid threats	•	0	0	0	0

Disasters caused by climate change and environmental degradation (e.g. floods, heatwaves, droughts, forest fires, hurricanes snowstorms)	•	©	0	0	•
Disruptions of essential services, including space systems	•	0	0	0	0
Disruptions of supply chains, including supply chains of critical materials	0	0	0	0	•
Economic and trade instability	0	0	0	0	•
Health emergencies (e.g. epidemics and pandemics)	•	0	0	0	0
Maritime security threats	0	0	0	0	•
Migratory pressure and pressure on the EU's external borders	0	•	0	0	0
Misuse of chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear substances	0	•	©	0	0
Natural and human-made threats in space	0	0	0	0	•
Non-climate-related natural disasters (e.g. earthquakes, tsunamis, landslides, volcanic eruptions)	0	•	0	0	0
Threats to biodiversity and animal/plant health	0	•	0	0	0
Violence and social unrest	0	•	0	0	0
Other	•	0	0	0	0

If other, please specify

Tackling health workforce shortages

In which of the following areas would strategic reserves/stockpiles at both EU and national level have the most added value? Please select three answers.

atio	nal level have the most added value? Please select three answers.
	Civil protection equipment
	Countermeasures for chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear threats
	Critical raw materials
	Energy and energy equipment
	Food and drinking water
	Industrial products, information and communication technology (ICT)
	components
	Medical equipment, vaccines, diagnostics and medicines

	Shelter
1	Other

If other: please specify

We need a resilient, highly-qualified, domestically educated and trained EU healthcare workforce!

To what extent do you agree that the EU budget should support the following objectives?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know /no opinion
Assist people and businesses in the EU affected by crises	•	0	0	0	0	0
Assist people and businesses outside the EU affected by crises	0	•	0	0	0	0
Preparedness for crises in the EU	•	0	0	0	0	0
Preparedness for crises outside the EU	0	•	0	0	0	0
Minimise risks and threats and prevent crises in the EU	•	0	0	0	0	0
Minimise risks and threats and prevent crises outside the EU	0	•	0	0	0	0
Support Member States' recovery efforts after a major crisis	•	0	0	0	0	0
Communicate and share information on civil protection activities (UCPM Knowledge Network)	0	•	0	0	0	•
Support community-driven and citizen-led preparedness initiatives in Member States	0	•	0	0	0	0

If you wish to reply to questions that require a certain familiarity with EU civil protection, preparedness and response to crises, please answer the following questions:

Do you think that civil-military cooperation (to prepare for and respond to crises) is beneficial and should be intensified at EU level?

 □ To a large extent ☑ Somewhat □ Very little □ Not at all □ Don't know/Not applicable
In which areas of civil protection, preparedness and crisis response should civil- military coordination be intensified at EU level? Please select three answers Maximum 3 selection(s) Anticipation of risks and scenario development Chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear incidents Health systems Maritime security Preparedness and detection of threats to EU and national space infrastructure and capabilities Preparedness and response to climate change-related disasters and natural disasters Procurement, production and stockpiling of goods Research and innovation Making essential services more resilient Training and exercises Transport and logistic support Protection against financial fraud Other Do not know/ No opinion
If other: please specify
healthcare profession workforce - ICUs nurses in pandemics-health crisis
To what extent do you agree that the private sector can contribute to the EU's civil protection, preparedness and crisis response activities? Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree
Strongly disagree

Do not know/no opinion
In your view, in which areas is cooperation with the private sector necessary for the success of EU civil protection, preparedness and response to crises? Please select all that apply. Agriculture and food production, processing and distribution Banking Digital infrastructure Drinking water Energy Financial markets Health Industry Insurance Outer space Public administration Research and innovation Security of supply chains, including critical supply chains and availability of goods and services needed in a crisis Transport Wastewater Other
To what extent do you agree with the following statement: 'EU civil protection, preparedness and response to crises sufficiently use strategic foresight, anticipation and early warning systems.' Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree Do not know/no opinion

What improvements could strengthen EU risk anticipation, forecasting and early warning systems? Please select all that apply.

Better accessibility and use of EU space-base	ed data (including Earth
observation)	
Better coordination among national governme	nts and EU institutions
Better information sharing, including between	civil and military authorities and
in the maritime area	
An EU-wide risk assessment to complement r	national risk assessments
More citizen engagement	
More scientific research	
Better services and applications for disaster m	nanagement
Stronger cooperation with the private sector	
Other	

If other: please specify

We need a highly qualified, domestically educated and trained EU healthcare workforce, otherwise we will never be prepared for any threat.

In your view, to what extent are the needs of vulnerable populations currently met by EU crisis preparedness policies (e.g. young people, older people, persons with disabilities, people in low-income communities, migrants and refugees)?

	To a large extent
V	Somewhat
V	Very little

Not at all

Don't know//not applicable

Do you have any feedback or comments EU's work on civil protection, preparedness and crisis response?

The EU must put in place, in the next MFF, a new dedicated fund to support the development of a highly resilient, qualified, domestically educated and trained EU healthcare workforce (in line with the requirements set in the Directive 2013/55/EU). If pressing shortages of healthcare professionals are not tackled, the EU healthcare systems will collapse, putting the livelihood of millions of EU citizens in critical danger!

In case you would like to complement your feedback, you can upload your file(s) here

```
Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed
```

fbfbcf99-1248-4867-8973-7413e4203a17/IJNC.MS.ID.000598__Ticking_Bomb_EU_Health_Workforce_Exploded_.pdf
e8f89540-48c7-4ce4-bb0e-11616a9823c4/IJNC.MS.ID.000610 EMPL-SANT Committee 3R .pdf

Contact

 $SG\text{-}MFF\text{-}PUBLIC\text{-}CONSULTATIONS@ec.europa.eu}$